

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Estancia F.O.

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating ____ Final Rating ____

1. Plants - 25 Potential Points (25% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment		% Area in Contract After Treatment.		Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
Rangelands: Ecological Site Similarity Index (SI)*	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend up or not apparent	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	25			
	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	20			
	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	15			
	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	10			
	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	8			
	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	5			
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	___ + ___ + ___ =	%	0			
Riparian:	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	25		
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	25		
1. Plants		Total	100%	Total	100%	Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 56 Potential Points (60% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.						Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil/Air Concerns								
<i>(Sheet and Rill erosion and Concentrated Flow)</i> Diversion (362)						4.18		
<i>(Sheet and Rill and Conenetrated Flow)</i> Grade Stabilization Structure (410)						4.18		
<i>(Classic Gullies and Streambank Erosion)</i> Pond (378)						4.18		
Water Concerns(Inadequate water use on non-irrigated land)								
Water Facility (614)						2		
Pond (378)						4.18		
Livestock Pipeline (516)						2		
Well (642)						2		
Pumping Plant (533)						2		
Plant Concerns								
<i>(Noxious & Invasive plant)</i> est Mangement (595A) - Class A & B Weeds						6		
<i>(Productivity, health and vigor)</i> Range Planting(550) & Critical Area Planting (342)						4.18		
<i>(Productivity, health and vigor)</i> Brush Management (314)						4.18		
<i>(Productivity, health and vigor)</i> (Fence (382)						2		
Animal Concerns								
<i>(Inadequate water)</i> Wildlife Waterfacility (614)						4.18		
<i>(Inadequate food and shelter)</i> Fence (382)						4.18		
<i>(Inadequate food and shelter)</i> Tree and Shrub Establishment (612)						4.18		
2. Conservation Practice Selection								

3. Other Considerations - 15 Potential Points (15% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	7.5	0	

NM EQIP FY 2004 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Estancia F.O.

B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	N/A	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	N/A	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	N/A	0	
E. Located in a Critical Subwatershed Area (Torreon, Tajique, Chilille)	7.5	0	
3. Other Considerations	Total:	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 _____ Section 2 _____ Section 3 _____ Total for Worksheet _____

Designated Conservationist

Date